IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

ORDER

S.B.CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.6378/1999.

M/s.Goyal Enterprises.

VERSUS

Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Khedli and Another.

15.12.2006.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DALIP SINGH

Mr.N.C.Goyal, for the petitioner.
Mr.Jinesh Jain]
Mr.R.P.Garg] for the respondents.
Mrs.Poornima Sharma]

*****

In view of the reply filed by the respondents more particularly the contents as contained in para 4 of the same, the dispute between the petitioner and the respondent Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti has been amicably resolved.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Mandi Samiti that the petitioner and the Mandi Samiti have mutually agreed to a settlement which has also been approved.

The grievance of the petitioner is that even as per the agreed settlement of which reference has been made in para 4 of the reply to the writ petition, the respondents have still not made compliance of the same. He submits that a direction may be issued to the Mandi Samiti to give effect to the mutually agreed settlement of which reference has been made in para 4 of the reply to the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that because

of the pendency of the writ petition the settlement was not acted upon.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, it is hereby directed that in view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved the matter more particularly in view of the contents of para 4 of the reply to the writ petition filed by the Mandi Samiti, the respondent Mandi Samiti is directed to give effect to the mutually agreed settlement entered into between the petitioner and the respondent Mandi Samiti which has also been approved within a period of two months.

Consequently, the writ petition as well as the stay application stand disposed of with the above direction.

(DALIP SINGH),J.

Solanki DS, Jr.P.A.